

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,554	09/28/2001	Bruce J. Holub	6580-262	2581
7	590 09/22/2003			
Bereskin & Parr			EXAMINER	
Box 401 40 King Street West			KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
Toronto, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	ſ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/964,554	HOLUB ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 7 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention or the statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention or the statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main statutory period for the statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main statutory period for the statutory period for reply the statutory	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9-12,14 and 15</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,7 and 16-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: see attachment		JAMES O. WILSON PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1/600				
S. Patent and Trademark Office.	\sim	auer Will				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 set forth in the office action dated April, 09, 2003 has been maintained. Applicants argue that the extract of Philbrick et al contains saponins from Group A and Group B and that no conclusions can be drawn on the possible contribution of Group A or Group B saponins. The arguments are not persuasive. In the absence of showing of unexpected results with soyasaponin Bb. The rejections of claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 16-20 are maintained for reasons of record. Claim 16 recites a method according to claim 1 bu recites methodological steps that are seen in claim 9. The source of the soyasaponin to be used in the (method/process claimed) is not seen to result in a patentably distinguishable methodological difference. In other words, the source of the reagent is not seen to be of patentable import as such relates to the procedural steps of the method claimed.

JAMES O. WILSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600